

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,607	02/27/2002	Kazuhiko Hayashi	15333	7070
23389	7590 04/13/2004		EXAMINER	
	COTT MURPHY & PI I CITY PLAZA	LEWIS, N	LEWIS, MONICA	
	ΓY, NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/085,607	HAYASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Monica Lewis	2822				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of t ill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ja	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 January 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-20 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2002</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) accepted or b) drawing(s) be held in abey on is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		r Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the election filed January 15, 2004.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election of Group II on 1/15/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Specification

- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

41

Art Unit: 2822

Drawings

6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: a) 5 (See Figure 1); b) 195a (See Figure 3); c) 32 (See Figure 11); d) 201 (See Figure 12); and e) 29b (See Figure 12I). A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what is meant by the following: a) "said other of said lower electrode and said upper electrode acting as the anode" (See Claim 5); and b) "said other of said lower electrode and said upper electrode acting as the cathode" (See Claim 6). In claim 1, it is disclosed that "one of said lower electrode and said upper electrode acting as a cathode, and the other acting as an anode" and then in claims 5 and 6 it states the limitations disclosed above. Claims 7 and 8 depend directly or indirectly from a rejected claim and are, therefore, also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for the reasons set above.

Art Unit: 2822

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nippon (Japanese Publication No. 590055487) in view of Mori et al. (Japanese Patent No. 361134084A).

In regards to claim 1, Nippon discloses the following:

a) the light emitting element including a lower electrode (11), a light emitting material layer (12) including at least a light emitting layer, and an upper electrode (14) having light transparency, which are formed on a substrate (10) in the named order, one of said lower electrode and said upper electrode acting as a cathode, and the other acting as an anode (For Example: See Figure 2).

In regards to claim 1, Nippon fails to disclose the following:

a) light sensor being formed on said light emitting element.

However, Mori et al. ("Mori") discloses a light sensor (50) on a light emitting element (20) (For Example: See Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Nippon to include a light sensor on a light emitting element as disclosed in Mori because it aids in providing miniaturization (For Example: See Abstract).

Additionally, since Nippon and Mori are both from the same field of endeavor method the purpose disclosed by Mori would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nippon.

Art Unit: 2822

In regards to claim 3, Nippon discloses the following:

a) light emitting element is an electro-luminescence element (For Example: See Specification Page 4 Lines 9-27).

11. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nippon (Japanese Publication No. 590055487) in view of Mori et al. (Japanese Patent No. 361134084A) and Zhang et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0025136).

In regards to claim 2, Nippon fails to disclose the following:

a) light sensor is formed on said upper electrode.

However, Zhang et al. ("Zhang") discloses a light sensor (300) on an upper electrode (119) (For Example: See Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Nippon to include a light sensor on said upper electrode as disclosed in Zhang because it aids in providing an inexpensive manner of manufacturing a display device (For Example: See Paragraphs 4-8).

Additionally, since Nippon and Zhang are both from the same field of endeavor method the purpose disclosed by Zhang would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nippon.

12. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nippon (Japanese Publication No. 590055487) in view of Mori et al. (Japanese Patent No. 361134084A) and Nikaido et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,105,238).

In regards to claim 4, Nippon fails to disclose the following:

a) electroluminescence element includes an organic thin film as said light emitting layer included in said light emitting material layer, said organic thin film has a structure emitting the light in response to an applied current.

However, Nikaido et al. ("Nikaido") discloses an electroluminescence element that includes an organic thin film, said organic thin film has a structure emitting the light in response to an applied current (For Example: See Abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Nippon to include an electroluminescence element that includes an organic thin film as disclosed in Nikaido because it aids in providing reducing the fluctuation of the intensity of the light (For Example: See Abstract).

Additionally, since Nippon and Nikaido are both from the same field of endeavor method the purpose disclosed by Nikaido would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nippon.

13. Claims 5-7, as far as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nippon (Japanese Publication No. 590055487) in view of Mori et al. (Japanese Patent No. 361134084A), Nikaido et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,105,238) and Terao et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,133,581).

In regards to claim 5, Nippon fails to disclose the following:

a) a hole injection and transport layer is provided between said light emitting layer and said other of said lower electrode and said upper electrode acting as the anode.

However, Terao et al. ("Terao") discloses a hole injection and transport layer (3h) provided between said light emitting layer (3r) and said other of said lower electrode and said upper electrode acting as the anode (For Example: See Figure 3 and Column 1 Lines 17-31). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Nippon to include a hole injection and transport layer provided between said light emitting layer and said lower electrode and said upper

Application/Control Number: 10/085,607

Art Unit: 2822

electrode as disclosed in Terao because it aids in providing low power consumption (For Example: See Abstract).

Additionally, since Nippon and Terao are both from the same field of endeavor method the purpose disclosed by Terao would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nippon.

In regards to claim 6, Nippon fails to disclose the following:

a) a hole injection and transport layer is provided between said light emitting layer and said other of said lower electrode and said upper electrode acting as the cathode.

However, Terao discloses a hole injection and transport layer provided between said light emitting layer and said other of said lower electrode and said upper electrode acting as the cathode (For Example: See Figure 17b and Column 1 Lines 17-31). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Nippon to include a hole injection and transport layer provided between said light emitting layer and said lower electrode and said upper electrode as disclosed in Terao because it aids in providing low power consumption (For Example: See Abstract).

Additionally, since Nippon and Terao are both from the same field of endeavor method the purpose disclosed by Terao would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nippon.

In regards to claim 7, Nippon fails to disclose the following:

a) light sensor includes a pn junction formed by a region formed of a p-type semiconductor and another region formed of an n-type semiconductor.

However, Mori discloses a light sensor that includes a pn junction (For Example: See Abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Nippon to include a light sensor

includes a pn junction as disclosed in Mori because it aids in providing miniaturization (For Example: See Abstract).

Additionally, since Nippon and Mori are both from the same field of endeavor method the purpose disclosed by Mori would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nippon.

14. Claim 8, as far as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Nippon (Japanese Publication No. 590055487) in view of Mori et al. (Japanese Patent No. 361134084A), Nikaido et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,105,238), Terao et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,133,581) and Hamakawa et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,820,915).

In regards to claim 8, Nippon fails to disclose the following:

a) light sensor includes a pin structure formed by a region formed of a p-type semiconductor, another region formed of an n-type semiconductor, and an intrinsic semiconductor sandwiched between those two regions.

However, Hamakawa et al. ("Hamakawa") discloses a light sensor that includes a pin structure formed by a region formed of a p-type semiconductor, another region formed of an n-type semiconductor, and an intrinsic semiconductor sandwiched between those two regions (For Example: See Abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor device of Nippon to include a light sensor that includes a pin structure formed by a region formed of a p-type semiconductor, another region formed of an n-type semiconductor, and an intrinsic semiconductor sandwiched between those two regions as disclosed in Hamakawa because it aids in providing the ability to separate color components (For Example: See Column 1 Lines 40-57).

Art Unit: 2822

Additionally, since Nippon and Hamakawa are both from the same field of endeavor method the purpose disclosed by Hamakawa would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Nippon.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-1838.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722 for regular and after final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ML

April 2, 2004

Mary Wilczewski Primary Examiner